

using ligand-based approaches. A large number of ligand-based studies on NNRs have been conducted to explore NNR quantitative structure-activity relationships, identify pharmacophoric elements, and design novel and subtype selective NNR agents. Our studies will highlight examples of ligand-based modeling strategies of NNR ligands using a variety of methodologies (random forest, k nearest neighbors, Bayesian, shape and similarity based pharmacophore, etc.) with data based on orthosteric agonists and antagonists at $\alpha 4\beta 2$, $\alpha 7$, $\alpha 3\beta 4$ and/or $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ NNR subtypes. We will also briefly discuss application to ligand development for therapy.

doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.07.015

1.14

The twin drug approach for novel nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) ligands: Synthesis and structure–affinity relationships

I. Tomassoli¹, C. Eibl¹, M. Wulf¹, R.L. Papke², M.R. Picciotto³, D. Gündisch^{1,*}

¹ Dept. Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Hawai'i at Hilo, Hilo, HI, USA

² Dept. of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

³ Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

We have used known nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) ligands, as well as important elements of their pharmacophores, to design and synthesize novel nAChR ligands using the twin drug approach. Either two identical or two non-identical pharmacological entities were combined in different ways (linker, no linker, overlap). For example, we generated heterodimer ligands with one part derived from antioxidants, NSAIDs, scaffolds for monoamine related targets, or for beta-amyloid interaction and the second part derived from a nicotinic ligand. In a first approach to evaluate the effect of these compounds on diverse nAChRs, the compounds synthesized were tested for their affinities for different nAChR subtypes using the radioligands [³H]epibatidine ($\alpha 4\beta 2^*$, $\alpha 3\beta 4^*$ and muscle type nAChRs) and [³H]methyllycaconitine ($\alpha 7^*$ nAChRs). We also tested these compounds on membrane fractions from rat brain, pig adrenals, and Torpedo californica electroplax in competition assays. A broad spectrum of affinities (e.g. Ki values for $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$: <10 nM to >10,000 nM) provided important insights into structure–affinity relationships. These studies will result in novel compounds that could ultimately be useful for development of therapeutics to treat disorders involving nAChR dysfunction.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by P2ORR016467, MRP was supported by MH077681.

doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.07.016

1.15

Scanning mutagenesis of α -conotoxin AulB reveals a critical residue for activity at the $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor

D.J. Adams^{1,*}, A.A. Grishin¹, A. Hung¹, R.J. Clark², K. Akondi², P.F. Alewood², D.J. Craik²

¹ Health Innovations Research Institute, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

² Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

α -Conotoxin AulB, a disulfide-bonded peptide of 15 amino acids with a 4/6 inter-cysteine spacing, inhibits the $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nicotinic acetyl-

choline receptor (nAChR) subtype, which is a predominant subtype in the peripheral nervous system [1,2]. The ribbon isomer of AulB has been shown to be more potent than the native AulB (globular isomer) and to discriminate between stoichiometries of $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChRs expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes [3]. AulB also inhibits high voltage-activated N-type calcium channels in rat DRG neurons via the activation of G protein-coupled GABA_B receptors [4]. Interestingly, α -conotoxin AulB possesses analgesic activity *in vivo* and, therefore, may be a potential drug lead for treating chronic and neuropathic pain. In order to develop improved drugs void of side effects, it is necessary to understand the molecular determinants of AulB binding to its putative targets: GABA_B receptor vs. $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR. The aim of the present study was to determine the critical amino acid residues of AulB responsible for its interaction with $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChRs. Alanine scanning mutagenesis of the native AulB peptide was carried out to construct AulB alanine-substituted analogues which were tested in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing rat $\alpha 3$ and $\beta 4$ subunits. Two-electrode voltage clamp recording was used to assess the effect of AulB and its analogues (3 μ M) on the ACh-evoked current amplitude. Phenylalanine to alanine mutation at position 9 of AulB abolished inhibition of $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChRs, whereas substitution of glycine at position 1 with alanine significantly reduced inhibition ($18.0 \pm 10.5\%$, $n=3$) compared to native AulB ($48.5 \pm 6.9\%$, $n=7$) ($p<0.05$). Mutation of residues other than cysteine and proline, which are known to disrupt the tertiary structure of α -conotoxins, did not significantly reduce the inhibition of ACh-evoked currents compared to native AulB. Subsequent homology modelling/docking simulation was performed using a homology model of the rat ($\alpha 3$)₂($\beta 4$)₃ nAChR. The results suggest that interaction of AulB Phe9 with Lys81 and Trp79 on the $\beta 4$ nAChR subunit may be essential for AulB binding/interaction on $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR. In conclusion, we have identified phenylalanine at position 9 as the critical residue for specific interaction of AulB with the $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR. Future studies using site-directed mutagenesis of the $\beta 4$ subunit are required to further dissect the mechanism of AulB binding/interaction on $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by NHMRC Program Grant (569927) and ARC Discovery Project Grant (DP1093115).

References

- [1] Millar NS, Gotti C. *Neuropharmacology* 2009;56:237–46.
- [2] Park KS, et al. *Pflügers Arch* 2006;452:775–83.
- [3] Grishin AA, et al. *J Biol Chem* 2010;285:22254–63.
- [4] Klimis H, et al. *Pain* 2011;152:259–66.

doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.07.017

1.16

Acetylcholine binding protein-nicotinic receptor chimeras for delineating structure and determinants of ligand selectivity

Todd T. Talley^{1,*}, Akos Nemezc¹, John G. Yamauchi¹, Joshua Wu¹, Kwok-Yiu Ho¹, Banumathi Sankaran², Palmer Taylor¹

¹ Skaggs School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

² The Berkeley Centre for Structural Biology, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Acetylcholine binding proteins have provided a wealth of information on structure of the extracellular domain of the Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channels since their initial report by Sixma and colleagues. The availability of high resolution X-ray crystal structures of these proteins in complex with various nicotinic ligands has provided an atomic resolution view of the determinants of ligand recognition. In turn, this has provided opportunities for